REPORT ON THE ASSESSMENT OF WATER QUALITY IN NORTHERN GUNUNG RARA (NGR) FOREST RESERVE (July 2015)

by

Noor Azmizah Binti Andaman, Reuben Nilus & Abdullah Bin Osman

INTRODUCTION

An environmental baseline sampling was carried out by Hydrology Unit of Forest Research Centre to characterize the water quality of 4 rivers, which drained thru the Northern Gunung Rara (NGR) Sustainable Forest Management project area from the 6th-10th July 2015. These rivers are Sg.Lanap, Sg. Kasuyan, Sg. Kuamut and Sg. Imbok. This assessment is part of the study component required for the Forest Management Plan for NGR project area.

LOCATION OF STUDY AREA

A total of 4 sampling points represent the project watershed and its sub-catchment areas which predominantly drain through the project site (Figure 1). These sampling points are labelled W1 to W4.

The partly undulating and dissected hilly terrain dominates the project area of which 8 rivers flow through or originated from the area (Figure 1). The largest portion (approximately 245.88 km²) is **Sg. Kuamut** and its tributaries which flow southeastern before it flows to the northern parts of the project area (Table 1). The headwater of this river originated from the Maliau Basin catchment area and flows through the project area. **Sg. Imbok** is the second largest portion with approximately 196.03 km². The **Sg. Kuli** river (approximately 98.10 km²) drains to the north-eastern parts. **Sg. Moritok** with approximately 44.16 km² drains to the south part of NGR. The **Sg. Kasuyan** and its tributaries with approximately 41.56 km² drains most to the eastern part of the project area. **Sg. Lanap** which flows eastern and located at the base camp for the NGR FR with approximately 39.16 km². There are few small rivers namely **Sg. Napagon** (17.10 km²) and **Sg. Malibo** (12.34 km²). All these rivers are tributaries for the Sg. Kuamut river catchment in NGR FR of which flows into the Kinabatangan river catchment in the south. Eventually, all the waters from these rivers drain to the Sulu Sea.

The chemical analyses and water quality classes for all parameters tested for the sampling points in the project area are listed in Table 2.



Figure 1. The location of water sampling points to assess river water quality in Northern Gunung Rara Forest Reserve

| No. | Water Catchment Area | NGR FR |
|-----|-----------------------|--------|
| 1 | Sg. Kuamut (T1 – T4) | 24,588 |
| 2 | Sg. Imbok | 19,603 |
| 3 | Sg. Kuli | 9810 |
| 4 | Sg. Moritok | 4416 |
| 5 | Sg. Kasuyan (T1 – T2) | 4156 |
| 6 | Sg. Lanap | 3916 |
| 7 | Sg. Napagon | 1710 |
| 8 | Sg. Malibo | 1234 |
| | Total Area (Ha) | 69,433 |

Table 1. Catchment area in relation to management zone in NGR FR.

Table 2. The location of water quality sampling points in NGR FR (see Map).

| Sampling | Location | GPSI | ocation | Date of Sampling | Surrounding |
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Point | | Latitude | Longitude | | Condition |
| 1 | Sg. Lanap | 04°59'28. 7" | 117°08'07.1" | 08/07/2015 | Secondary forest |
| 2 | Sg. Kasuyan | 04°55'19.3" | 117°11'18.0" | 08/07/2015 | Secondary forest |
| 3 | Sg. Kuamut | 04°53'45.8" | 117°14'24.3" | 08/07/2015 | Secondary forest |
| 4 | Sg. Imbok | 04°51'04.9" | 117°22'18.7" | 09/07/2015 | Secondary forest |

RESULTS

Water Quality

The chemical analyses and water quality classes for all parameters tested for four sampling points in the project area are listed in Table 2.

Table 3. The results of chemical analyses and water quality classes for all parameter tested for sampling location W1-W4 in NGR project area . (BOD in mg/l), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD in mg/l), Ammoniacal Nitrogen (AN in mg/l), Suspended Solid (SS in mg/l), Dissolved Oxygen (DO in mg/l), fecal coliform (MPN/100mL), total coliform (MPN/100mL), and oil & grease (mg/l).

| Parameters | Sa | mpling | Locatio | n | NWQSM |
|---|--------|--------|---------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Tested | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | * |
| Biological | | | | | |
| Oxygen Demand (BOD in mg/l) | <1.00 | <1.00 | <1.00 | <1.00 | Class I |
| Suspended Solid (SS in mg/l) | <5.00 | 9.00 | 11.00 | 9.00 | Class I |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD in mg/l) | <10.00 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 18.8 | Class I |
| Ammoniacal- Nitrogen (as N ₃₋ N in mg/l) | 0.99 | <0.20 | <0.20 | <0.20 | Class III (W1) & Class I |
| Dissolved Oxygen (DO in mg/l) | 8.13 | 7.94 | 7.82 | 8.36 | Class I |
| Oil & Grease (mg/l) | <1.50 | <1.50 | <1.50 | <1.50 | NA |
| Total Coliform Count (MPN/100mL) | 330 | 330 | 330 | 330 | Class I |
| Fecal Coliform Count (MPN/100mL) | 130 | 79 | 13 | 33 | Class II (W1) & Class I |
| pH value | 6.99 | 6.95 | 6.52 | 7.30 | Class I |

* National Water Quality Standards for Malaysia

pH Value

The narrow concentration of hydrogen ions between pH 6 to 9 indicates the typical suitability range for the existence of most biological life. Based on the NWQSM, the pH level for all sampling point is classified within the Class I waters quality range (Table 2).

Total Suspended Solid

TSS is an indicator of the amount of land disturbance within the catchment area and relates to the erosion that took place nearby sampling area or upstream. All sampling points registered TSS levels under Class I waters under the National Water Quality Standards for Malaysia (Table 2).

Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)

This parameter is a measure to indicate the presence of organic waste in the river. All sampling points registered BOD levels within Class I under the Interim National Water Quality Standards for Malaysia (Table 2).

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)

This parameter is an indicator of organics in the water and usually used in association with BOD. All sampling points are classified under Class I (Table 2).

Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

DO is an essential indicator in supporting aquatic life. It measures the amount of oxygen (O_2) that is dissolved in the water (Table 2). All sampling points registered DO levels as Class I under the NWQSM.

Ammoniacal- Nitrogen (as N₃₋N)

This parameter is an indicator of pollution from excessive usage of ammonia rich fertilizers and often used as a measure of the health of water in natural bodies such as rivers or lakes, or in manmade water reservoirs. One Sampling point sampling point W1 registered level under Class III and other sampling point registered AN levels as Class I under the NWQSM.

Oil and Grease

This parameter is aim to test whether there has been indiscriminate dumping of oil or oily waste into the water systems. All sampled showed levels of oil and grease below measurable ranges (<1.5 mg/l) and reflect near natural reference level (Table 2).

Total Coliform Count (TCC)

The term total coliform count (TCC) refers to a numerical count that generally includes both fecal and non-fecal coliforms, and the observation is used to highlight bacterial contamination of the waters. All sampling points registered TCC levels within Class I NWQSM (Table 2).

Fecal Coliform Count (FCC)

The term refers to a subset numerical count of total coliform, primarily comprising fecal coliforms bacteria that originates from the guts of warm-blooded animals and humans. The observation is used as an indicator of fecal matters. One sampling point's registered FCC levels within Class II, sampling point W1, while other sampling point within Class I NWQSM (Table 2).

Water Quality Index (WQI)

The results of water quality index for W1 to W4 sampling points are listed in Table 4.

Table 4. The water quality index (WQI) for W1 to W4 sampling points in NGR FR. (Note: DO % saturation values were calculated based on dissolved oxygen saturation factor of 8.26 mgL⁻¹ at temperature 25° C).

| Attributes | Sampling Point | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------|-------|-------|--------|--|--|
| | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | | |
| DO% | 96.09 | 98.38 | 94.63 | 101.17 | | |
| BOD | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| COD | 10 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 18.8 | | |
| SS | 5 | 9 | 11 | 9 | | |
| pH | 6.99 | 6.95 | 6.52 | 7.30 | | |
| NH3-NL | 0.99 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | | |
| SIDO | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | |
| SIBOD | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | | |
| SICOD | 86 | 82 | 82 | 74 | | |
| SIAN | 48 | 80 | 80 | 80 | | |
| SISS | 95 | 92 | 91 | 92 | | |
| SIpH | 100 | 100 | 97 | 98 | | |
| WQI | 88 | 92 | 92 | 91 | | |
| CLASS | II | Ι | II | II | | |
| WQ STATUS | Clean | Clean | Clean | Clean | | |

Synthesis of assessment

In general, the tests for water quality sampled from the various local rivers are characterised as clean water and indicated as Class I and Class II (Table 3). The pH for all rivers generally complied with the standards set for water under Class I of the NWQSM. The acceptable limit for river water pH is 6 to 9, thus the pH for all sampling points are in an acceptable limit. All rivers indicated no trace of oil and grease. There is no indication of excessive usage and harmful level of ammonium nitrate (indicator of extreme used of fertilizer), shown by Ammoniacal-Nitrogen (as N₃.N) result, in W2 – W4 sampling point which complied with the standards under Class I. Only W1 sampling point show results Under Class III. When present in levels above 0.1 mg/l N, sewage or industrial contamination may be indicated (Anonymous 2001).

For total suspended solid all sampling points generally complied with the standards set for water under Class I of the National Water Quality Standards for Malaysia, indicating impact of soil erosion is at the minimal level.

No indications of organic pollution in all sampling point as the BOD for all sampling point are under Class I of NQWSM. The amounts of COD in all sampling points are under Class I of NWQSM. For DO amounts all sampling points are under Class I of NQWSM. DO are essential for the aquatic life within the river water. A low DO level would threaten the aquatic community whereas only DO level below 2 mg/l is considered harmful for aquatic life.

Based on the total coliform counts (TCC) and fecal coliform count (FCC), the bacterial contamination levels in all sampling points are under Class I of NQWMS. Only W1 sampling point shows FCC under Class II.

All the river water was sampled on a clear weather and no event of rain. Based on the river water quality index, all sampling points are within Class I and II and categorized as clean river. Nevertheless, water that categorized as Class II required conventional treatment such as boiling before it can be used domestic consumption.

Recommendations

It is recommended that the management team carry out periodic inspection and monitoring at all the sampling points to prevent deterioration of the water quality, especially W1 sampling point at Sg Lanap. The finding of high level of ammonium nitrate loading into the river system required further investigation as the contamination may impact aquatic life. The management team also needs to install signage at all the sampling point to prevent visitors or passerby traversing the road from dumping waste into the watercourse.

REFERENCES

Anonymous (2001). Environmental Protection Agency, Parameters of Water Quality Interpretation and Standards, Johnstown Castle, Co. Wexford, Ireland.

Department Of Environment Malaysia (DOE), 2011. Malaysia Environmental Quality Report 2011. <u>http://www.doe.gov.my/webportal/en/penerbitan-jas/</u>

http://www.wepa-db.net/policies/law/malaysia/eq_surface.htm

APPENDIX I

METHODOLOGY

A. Sampling Method and Parameters Tested for Chemical Analyses

Grab sampling technique were used to collect water samples at proposed location as indicated in Map 1. All samples were preserved accordingly and sent to Chemsain Konsultant Sdn. Bhd (an accredited laboratory) for analysis within 24 hours. Parameters measured were according to the DOE Water Quality Index (WQI) with additional physical and microbiological analysis of the samples. The parameters tested were concentration of hydrogen ion (pH), Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD in mg/l), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD in mg/l), Ammoniacal Nitrogen (AN in mg/l), Suspended Solid (SS in mg/l), Dissolved Oxygen (DO in mg/l), fecal coliform (FCC MPN/100mL), total coliform (TCC MPN/100mL), and oil & grease (mg/l).

B. Data Analysis

Water Quality Index (WQI) was proposed by the Department of Environment Malaysia and can be used to determine the water quality status and classify the rivers based on the National Water Quality Standards for Malaysia (NWQSM). This water monitoring programme was practised in Malaysia since 1978. The NWQSM provides a convenient means of summarizing water quality data for sampled river water by classifying them into various categories, such as Class I, II, III, IV or V based on Water Quality Index (WQI) and National Water Quality Standards for Malaysia (NWQSM). Subsequently, the water quality status can be grouped into broad classes such as clean, slightly polluted or polluted.

The formulas used in the calculation of WQI is as follows:

WQI = 0.22SIDO+0.19SIBOD+0.16SICOD+0.16SISS+0.15SIAN+0.12SI pH (1)

where, WQI = Water quality index; SIDO = Sub-index of DO; SIBOD = Sub-index of BOD; SICOD = Sub-index of COD; SIAN = Sub-index of AN; SISS = Sub-index of TSS; SIpH = Sub-index of pH.

| Sub-index for DO (in % saturation): | | | |
|--|-----------------|------|------|
| SIDO $= 0$ for | DO < 8 | (2a) | |
| = 100 for | DO > 92 | | (2b) |
| $= -0.395 + 0.030 \text{DO}^2 - 0.00020 \text{DO}^3$ | for 8 < DO < 92 | (2c) | |
| Sub-index for BOD: | | | |
| SIBOD = 100.4 - 4.23BOD | for $BOD < 5$ | (3a) | |
| $= 108e^{-0.055BOD} - 0.1BOD$ | for $BOD > 5$ | | (3b) |
| Sub-index for COD: | | | |
| SICOD = -1.33COD + 99.1 | for $COD < 20$ | (4a) | |
| | | | |

| | $= 103e^{-0.0157COD} - 0.04COD$ | for $COD > 20$ | (4b) | |
|--------|--|---------------------|------|------|
| Sub-in | dex for AN: | | | |
| SIAN | = 100.5 - 105AN | for $AN < 0.3$ | (5a) | |
| | $= 94e^{-0.573AN} - 5 AN - 2 $ | for 0.3 < AN < | 4 | |
| | (5b) | | | |
| | = 0 | for $AN > 4$ | (5c) | |
| Sub-in | idex for SS: | | | |
| SISS | $= 97.5e^{-0.00676SS} + 0.05SS$ | for SS < 100 | | (6a) |
| | $= 71e^{-0.0016SS} - 0.015SS$ | for 100 < SS < 1000 | (6b) | |
| | = 0 | for SS > 1000 | (6c) | |
| Sub-in | idex for pH: | | | |
| SIpH | $= 17.2 - 17.2 \text{pH} + 5.02 \text{pH}^2$ | for $pH < 5.5$ | (7a) | |
| 1 | $= -242 + 95.5 \text{pH} - 6.67 \text{pH}^2$ | for $5.5 < pH < 7$ | | (7b) |
| | $= -181 + 82.4 \text{pH} - 6.05 \text{pH}^2$ | for $7 < pH < 8$. | 75 | (7c) |
| | $= 536 - 77.0 \text{pH} + 2.76 \text{pH}^2$ | for pH > 8.75 | (7d) | () |
| | | | | |

APPENDIX II



PHOTO.1. Sampling points W1, Sg. Lanap, sampling was done on a clear weather.



PHOTO.3. Sampling point W2, Sg. Kasuyan, sampling was done on a clear weather.



PHOTO.5. Sampling points W4, Sg. Imbok, sampling was done on a clear weather.



PHOTO.2. Sampling points W1, Sg. Lanap river.



PHOTO.4. Sampling point W3, Sg. Kuamut river view from the bridge.



PHOTO.6. NGR FR basecamp.

APPENDIX III WATER QUALITY RESULTS



NOTE: 1) This Test Report shall not be reproduced, except in fall, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Page 1 of 2

The above relates to the sample(s) tested.

2)

3)

The result(s) relates to the sample(s) tested.



CHEMSAIN KONSULTANT SDN BHD (130904-U) Lots 2 & 7, Lorong Suria, Off Lorong Buah Duku 1, Taman Perindustrian Suria,

 bits 2 & 7, Lorong Suria, Off Lorong Buah Duku 1, Taman Perindustrian Suria, Jalan Kolombong, 88450 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.
 Tel: +60-88-389671 / 381278 Fax: +60-88-381280
 Email: laboratory.kk@chemsain.com



TEST REPORT

* NOT FOR ADVERTISEMENT PURPOSES *

Lab No.: CK/CL405/2294/15

| Lab No | 2294-3 | 2294-4 | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Parameter(s) | Sg. Kasuian Date: 08/07/15 Time: 1420 Hrs | Sg. Lanap Date: 08/07/15 Time: 1500 Hrs | Test Method |
| pH Value @ 25°C | 6.95 | 6.99 | APHA 4500H + B, 2012 |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand in 5 days @ 20°C, mg/L | <1.00 | <1.00 | APHA 5210 B & 4500-O G, 2012 |
| Suspended Solids, mg/L | 9.00 | <5.00 | APHA 2540 D, 2012 |
| Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L | 7.94 | 8.13 | АРНА 4500-О G, 2012 |
| Oil & Grease, mg/L | <1.50 | <1.50 | APHA 5520 B, 2012 |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/L | 12.5 | <10.0 | APHA 5220 C, 2012 |
| Ammoniacal-Nitrogen (as NH3-N), mg/L | <0.20 | 0.99 | APHA 4500-NH3 C, 2012 |

Date of commencement of BOD5 analysis: 09th July 2015

ZAYĐIE LEONG (20) B. Sc. (Hons) AMIC (3133/5377/08/11) SENIOR CHEMIST DINO OSMAN

Page 2 of 2

NOTE: 1)

This Test Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
 The above relates to the sample(s) tested.
 The result(s) relates to the sample(s) tested.

CHEMSAIN KONSULTANT SDN BHD (130904-U)

Lots 2 & 7, Lorong Suria, Off Lorong Buah Duku 1, Taman Perindustrian Suria, Jalan Kolombong, 88450 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia. Tel: +60-88-389671 / 381278 Fax: +60-88-381280 Email: laboratory.kk@chemsain.com



TEST REPORT

* NOT FOR ADVERTISEMENT PURPOSES *

Jabatan Perhutanan Sabah Customer PPP Sepilok, PS1407 90715 Sandakan, Sabah.

Lab No. Type (No.) of Sample Date Received Date of Report Service Order

: CK/ML405/2295/15 : River Water (4) : 09th July 2015 : 14th July 2015 -

| Attn | : | Ms. Noor Azmizah Bt Andaman |
|------|---|-----------------------------|
| | | |

| Lab No | 2295-1 | 2295-2 | |
|--|---|--|--------------------|
| Parameter | Sg. Imbak Date: 08/07/15 Time: 1215 Hrs | Sg. Kuamut • Date: 08/07/15 Time: 1345 Hrs | <u>Test Method</u> |
| Total Coliform Count MPN/100ml, 35±0.5°C/48 h | 3.3 x 10 ² | 3.3 x 10 ² | APHA 9221B, 2012 |
| Fecal Coliform Count MPN/100ml, 44.5±0.2°C/24 h | 33 | 13 | APHA 9221E, 2005 |

| Lab No | 2295-3 | 2295-4 | |
|--|---|---|--------------------|
| Parameter | Sg. Kasuian Date: 08/07/15 Time: 1420 Hrs | Sg. Lanap Date: 08/07/15 Time: 1500 Hrs | <u>Test Method</u> |
| Total Coliform Count MPN/100ml, 35±0.5°C/48 h | 3.3 x 10 ² | 3.3 x 10 ² | APHA 9221B, 2012 |
| Fecal Coliform Count MPN/100ml, 44.5±0.2°C/24 h | 79 | 1.3 x 10 ² | APHA 9221E, 2005 |

GOH CHIA MEY B. Sc. (Hons.) MICROBIOLOGIST



This Test Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The above relates to the sample(c) tested NOTE: 1) The above relates to the sample(s) tested. 2) 3)

The result(s) relates to the sample(s) tested.

APPENDIX III

- i. National Water Quality Standards For Malaysia
- ii. Water Classes And Uses
- DOE Water Quality Classification Based On Water Quality Index DOE Water Quality Index Classification iii.
- iv.
- WQI Formula And Calculation v.

Source from: Department Of Environment Malaysia (DOE), 2011. Malaysia Environmental Quality Report 2011. http://www.doe.gov.my/webportal/en/penerbitan-jas/

APPENDIX III (i)

| PARAMETER | UNIT | | | CLASS | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|-----|
| A1 | mail | | IIA/IIB | (0.05) | IV N | 1 |
| Δs | mg/i mg/i | | 0.05 | (0.06) | 0.5 | |
| la | mg/l | Contraction of the second | 1 | - | - | |
| Cd . | mg/l | | 0.01 | 0.01* (0.001) | 0.01 | |
| :r (IV) | mg/l | | 0.05 | 1.4 (0.05) | 0.1 | |
| r (III) | mg/l | | - | 2.5 | · · · · · | |
| u . | mg/l | | 0.02 | Sal . | 0.2 | |
| ardness | mg/l | - | 250 | - | | |
| | mg/l | | - | | • • • • • | |
| 9 | mg/l | | - | | | |
| | mg/l | 26 | | 1 | 3 SAR | |
| | mg/l | ap Chican | | 1 | 1 (lost) E (Others) | |
| | mg/l | | 0.05 | 0.02* (0.01) | (Lear) 5 (Others) | |
| n t | mg/l | | 0.05 | 0.02 (0.01) | 02 | |
| a | ma/l | N | 0.001 | 0.004 (0.0001) | 0.002 | |
| i i | mg/l | A | 0.05 | 0.9* | 0.2 | |
| | mg/l | T | 0.01 | 0.25 (0.04) | 0.02 | × ŕ |
| 9 | . mg/l | U | 0.05 | 0.0002 | - | |
| 1 | mg/l | R | 10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0.004 | 100 A. | · |
| | mg/l | A | - | - | | , |
| 1 | mg/l | L | 5 | 0.4* | 2 | - |
| | mg/l | | - 1 | (3.4) | 0.8 | i |
| | mg/l | | 200 | · · · | 80 | F |
| Contraction of the second | mg/l | E | - | (0.02) | | |
| and the second | mg/l | V | 0.02 | 0.06 (0.02) | | |
| 1 | mg/l | E | 1.5 | 10 | 1 | |
| 2 | mg/l | L | 0.4 | 0.4 (0.03) | - | IN |
| 3 | mg/l | 5 | 02 | 0.1 | 2 | |
| ica | ma/l | 1 0 | 50 | | | |
| 1. 3° 3° | ma/l | D | 250 | _ | | 138 |
| | ma/l | ĸ | 0.05 | (0.001) | | |
|), | mg/l | • | | | _ | |
| oss-a | Bq/I | R | 0.1 | 54.5 | - | |
| oss-β | Bq/l | c | 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | San Fe Caller | |
| 1-226 | Bq/l | E | < 0.1 | | | - |
| -90 | Bq/l | N | < 1 | - | | - |
| | µg/l | T | 500 | | and the second second | |
| BAS/BAS | µg/l | | 500 | 5000 (200) | | |
| & G (Foundation Edible) | µg/I | | 40; N | N | 1 A | - |
| CB (Emulsined Edible) | µg/I | | 7000; N | E (O OF) | | |
| henol | µg/l | | 10 | 0 (0.05) | | |
| ldrin/Dieldrin | ug/l | | 0.02 | 0.2 (0.01) | | |
| HC | ug/l | | 2 | 9 (0 1) | | - |
| hlordane | ug/l | | 0.08 | 2 (0 02) | | |
| DDT | ug/l | | 0.1 | (1) | | |
| ndosulfan | ua/l | | 10 | - | in the second se | |
| eptachlor/Epoxide | µg/l | | 0.05 | 0.9 (0.06) | | |
| ndane | µq/l | | 2 | 3 (0.4) | | |
| 4-D | µg/l | | 70 | 450 | | |
| ,4,5-T | µg/l | | 10 | 160 | | |
| ,4,5-TP | µg/l | | 4 | 850 | | |
| | | | | Concerning and Concerning | | |

-

. ..

Notes :

* = At hardness 50 mg/l CaCO $_3$ # = Maximum (unbracketed) and 24-hour average (bracketed) concentrations N = Free from visible film sheen, discolouration and deposits

1 -

*

⁸⁴

APPENDIX III (i & ii)

NATIONAL WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR MALAYSIA

| PARAMETER | UNIT | | | | CLASS | 1000 | - And South |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|
| North Contraction | | - 1 | IIA | HB | . 111 | IV | v |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen | mg/l | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.7 | > 2.7 |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | mg/l | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 12 | > 12 |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | mg/l | 10 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 100 | > 100 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | mg/l | 7 | 5 - 7 | 5-7 | 3 - 5 | < 3 | <1 |
| рН | 8 . . | 6.5 - 8.5 | 6-9 | 6 - 9 | 5 - 9 | 5 - 9 | 141 |
| Colour | TCU | 15 | 150 | 150 | · · · | - | - |
| Electrical Conductivity* | µS/cm | 1000 | 1000 | | а 1 | 6000 | |
| Floatables | | N | N | N | - 3 | - | |
| Odour | | N _{tt} - | N | N | - | - | - |
| Salinity | % | 0.5 | 1 | | | 2 | - |
| Taste | - | N | N | N | | - a ^p) - | - |
| Total Dissolved Solid | mg/l | 500 | 1000 | - | - | 4000 | |
| Total Suspended Solid | mg/l | 25 | 50 | 50 | 150 | 300 | 300 |
| Temperature | °C | - | Normal + 2 °C | - | Normal + 2 °C | - | - |
| Turbidity | NTU . | 5 | 50 | 50 | | е <u>-</u> е | · • |
| Faecal Coliform** | count/100 ml | 10 | 100 | 400 | 5000 (20000)ª | 5000 (20000)* | - |
| Total Coliform | count/100 ml | 100 | 5000 | 5000 | 50000 | 50000 | > 50000 |

Notes :

N No visible floatable materials or debris, no objectional dour or no objectional taste * Related parameters, only one recommended for use ** Geometric mean a : Maximum not to be exceeded

WATER CLASSES AND USES

| CLASS | USES |
|-----------|---|
| Class I | Conservation of natural environment. Water Supply I – Practically no treatment necessary. Fishery I – Very sensitive aquatic species. |
| Class IIA | Water Supply II – Conventional treatment required. Fishery II – Sensitive aquatic species. |
| Class IIB | Recreational use with body contact. |
| Class III | Water Supply III – Extensive treatment required. Fishery III – Common, of economic value and tolerant species; livestock drinking. |
| Class IV | Irrigation |
| Class V | None of the above. |

Malaysia Environmental Quality Report

85

APPENDIX III (iii & iv)

DOE WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION BASED ON WATER QUALITY INDEX

| | INDEX RANGE | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------------|----------|--|--|
| SUB INDEX & WATER QUALITY INDEX | CLEAN | SLIGHTLY POLLUTED | POLLUTED | | |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) | 91 - 100 | 80 - 90 | 0 - 79 | | |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N) | 92 - 100 | 71 - 91 | 0 - 70 | | |
| Suspended Solids (SS) | 76 - 100 | 70 - 75 | 0 - 69 | | |
| Water Quality Index (WQI) | 81 - 100 | 60 - 80 | 0 - 59 | | |

DOE WATER QUALITY INDEX CLASSIFICATION

| PARAMETER · | UNIT | Sugar Sec. | or the second second | CLASS | * | |
|---------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | 1 | n | 11 | IV | v |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen | mg/l | < 0.1 | 0.1 - 0.3 | -0.3 - 0.9 | 0.9 - 2.7 | > 2.7 |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | mg/l | < 1 | 1-3 | 3 - 6 | 6 - 12 | > 12 |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | mg/l | < 10 | 10 - 25 | 25 - 50 | 50 - 100 | > 100 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | mg/l | >7 | 5 - 7 . | 3 - 5 | 1-3 | <1 |
| рН | - | > 7.0 | 6.0 - 7.0 | 5.0 - 6.0 | < 5.0 | > 5.0 |
| Total Suspended Solid | mg/l | < 25 | 25 - 50 | 50 - 150 | 150 - 300 | > 300 |
| Water Quality Index (WQI) | | > 92.7 | 76.5 - 92.7 | 51.9 - 76.5 | 31.0 - 51.9 | < 31.0 |

APPENDIX III (v)

WQI FORMULA AND CALCULATION

FORMULA

WQI = (0.22 * SIDO) + (0.19 * SIBOD) + (0.16 * SICOD) + (0.15 * SIAN) + (0.16 * SISS) + (0.12 * SIPH)where;

```
siDO = Subindex DO (% saturation)

SIBOD = Subindex BOD

SICOD = Subindex COD

SIAN = Subindex NH<sub>3</sub>-N

SISS = Subindex SS

SIPH = Subindex pH

0 ≤ WQI ≤ 100
```

•

BEST FIT EQUATIONS FOR THE ESTIMATION OF VARIOUS SUBINDEX VALUES

| Subir | ndex for DO (in % saturation) | | |
|---------------|---|---|--|
| | SIDO = 0 | | for x≤8 |
| | SIDO = 100 | | for $x \ge 92$ |
| | SIDO = -0.395 + 0.030x ² - 0.00020x ³ | | for 8 < x < 92 |
| Subir | ndex for BOD | | |
| | SIBOD = 100.4 - 4.23x | | for x≤5 |
| 8) (1 | SIBOD = 108 * exp(-0.055x) - 0.1x | | for $x > 5$ |
| Subir | ndex for COD | | |
| | SICOD = -1.33x + 99.1 | - | for x ≤ 20 |
| | SICOD = 103 * exp(-0.0157x) - 0.04x | | for $x > 20$ |
| Subir | ndex for NH ₂ -N | | |
| | SIAN = 100.5 - 105x | | for x ≤ 0.3 |
| | SIAN = 94 * exp(-0.573x) - 5 * 1 x - 2 | 1 | for 0.3 < x < 4 |
| | SIAN = 0 | | for $x \ge 4$ |
| Subin | ndex for SS | | |
| | SISS = 97.5 * exp(-0.00676x) + 0.05x | | for x ≤ 100 |
| | SISS = 71 * exp(-0.0061x) - 0.015x | | for 100 < x < 1000 |
| | SISS = 0 | | for x ≥ 1000 |
| Subin | idex for pH | | 4 |
| (| $SlpH = 17.2 - 17.2x + 5.02x^2$ | A | for $x < 5.5$ |
| | $SIDH = -242 + 95.5x - 6.67x^2$ | 0 | for $5.5 \le x < 7$ |
| | $SlpH = -181 + 82.4x - 6.05x^2$ | | for 7 ≤ x < 8.75 |
| | $SIDH = 536 - 77.0x + 2.76x^2$ | | for x ≥ 8.75 |
| | | | Construction of the second sec |

Note:

* means multiply with

87